



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,696	09/12/2003	David D. Brandt	03AB014C/ALBRP303USC	7375
7590 10/24/2008				
Susan M. Donahue				
Rockwell Automation, 704-P, IP Department				
1201 South 2nd Street				
Milwaukee, WI 53204				
EXAMINER				
BAUM, RONALD				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2439				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/24/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/661,696		<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRANDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RONALD BAUM		<b>Art Unit</b> 2439	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RONALD BAUM. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) DAVE M. NOONAN. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Swiler et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how the phrase 'industrial controller[s]' in the claim [1] is sufficiently broad, such that the Swiler reference teaching of network security in '... commercial, and industry applications ...' would cover the limitation. However, to move prosecution forward, attention should be directed towards more explicitly dealing with the 'industrial controller' insofar as dealing with the environment, or details of a given industrial controller embodiment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kambiz Zand/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434